

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,220	03/10/2004	Alan B. Duell	HES 2003-IP-009653U1	4106
29920 JOHN W. WU	7590 07/17/2007 STENRERG		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1431 DUNCAN, OK 73536			COOLEY, CHARLES E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
		·	MAII DATE	DEL IVERY MODE
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/797,220	DUELL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Charles E. Cooley	1723
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6-54</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17 and 32-44</u> is/are we Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-15</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4,16,18-31 and 45-54</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6-54</u> are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	
•	or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Election/Restriction Requirement

- Claims 33-44 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 15 MAY 2006.
- 2. Applicant's election of Species A with traverse in the reply filed on 15 MAY 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the species requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 17 and 32 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Drawings

- 3. The replacement sheets of drawings filed 24 APR 2007 have been approved.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the water concentration sensor <u>in</u> the mixing tub must be shown. No new matter should be entered. Note Figs. 1 and 3 show the water concentration sensor 48 in the recirculation line and in the discharge line, but not <u>in</u> the mixing tub as now set forth in claim 1.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Art Unit: 1723

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The abstract is acceptable.
- 6. The title is acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1723

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 9. Claims 4, 16, 18-31, and 45-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen (US 5,114,239) in view of DE 1921681 or Wang et al. (US 6,169,407).
- (A) The patent to Allen (US 5,114,239) discloses the recited system substantially as claimed including an apparatus for producing a mixture. The mixture includes a first substance and a second substance, and it can include additional substances. In a preferred embodiment the mixture is produced so that it has a desired density. In a preferred embodiment, the apparatus and method are used for producing an averaged mixture to be pumped into a well. The apparatus mixes dry cement and water at a well site to produce a cement slurry having a desired density for pumping downhole; however, it is the apparatus of the present invention has broader utility beyond these specific substances and this specific environment.

Referring to FIG. 1, a preferred embodiment of the apparatus of the present invention includes containment means 2 for containing a body of a first averaged

mixture. The apparatus also includes containment means 4 for containing a body of a second averaged mixture which includes a portion of the first averaged mixture received from the containment means 2. Connected to the containment means 2 is inlet means 6 for producing initial mixtures including at least two substances and inputting the initial mixtures into the containment means 2 so that the first averaged mixture is produced in the containment means 2. Thus, the first averaged mixture includes mixture received from the inlet means 6.

The apparatus further comprises means 8 for selectably directing a portion of the first averaged mixture from the containment means 2 into the containment means 4 for producing the second averaged mixture within the containment means 4. The apparatus also comprises recirculation means 10 for recirculating at least a portion of each of the first averaged mixture and the second averaged mixture back to the inlet means 6 for mixing with initial mixtures of the inlet means 6. Responsive to flows through the recirculation means 10 is a control means 12 of the apparatus. The control means 12 controls the inlet means 6 to produce desired initial mixtures from which a desired second averaged mixture can be obtained in the containment means 4. In a preferred embodiment illustrated in FIGS. 2 and 3, the foregoing elements are assembled and mounted on a suitable vehicle 14, such as a trailer which is transportable to a well site. The vehicle 14 is a conventional type adapted for the specific use for which it is intended to be put (e.g., transporting equipment to a well site).

The containment means 2 includes a primary mixing tub 16 (as used herein, "tub" refers to and encompasses any container suitable for the use to which it is to be

put within the context of the overall invention). In a particular embodiment the tub 16 has a five barrel capacity or volume. Disposed in the tub 16 at an angle to the tub's vertical axis is a large agitator 18 by which high rolling action agitation and vibration can be imparted to the mixture in the tub to aid in wetting the cement within the mixture and in expelling air which can be entrained in the mixture. Referring to FIGS. 2 and 3 herein, the tub 16 is shown mounted on the vehicle 14. The mounting is by a suitable technique known in the art. As more clearly shown in FIG. 3, the tub 16 is mounted centrally between the two longitudinal sides of the vehicle 14 and adjacent two more mixing tubs 20, 22.

Page 6

The two tubs 20, 22 define the preferred embodiment of the containment means 4 shown in FIGS. 1-3. Thus, the preferred embodiment of the present invention is a three mixing tub system; however, it is to be noted that various aspects of the present invention have utility with two-tub systems or systems with more than three tubs. The tubs 20, 22 of the preferred embodiment are conventional mixing containers. In a particularly preferred embodiment of the present invention, the tubs 20, 22 are implemented with conventional displacement tanks which are part of a conventional vehicle 14 (for example, the Halliburton Services trailer-mounted RCM.TM.-75TC4) used in performing cementing jobs at well sites. Such displacement tanks have heretofore been used to hold displacement fluid which is pumped behind a column of cement slurry to push the cement slurry to a desired location in the well bore. The displacement tanks are such that accurate determinations of the volume of displacement fluid pumped behind the cement slurry are obtained for maintaining proper

control of the placement of the slurry within the well bore. Using such displacement tanks also as mixing containers allows the vehicle 14 to be modified to implement the present invention and yet stay within the weight limitation of such vehicle 14.

In the specific implementation where the present invention is used to produce a cement slurry at a well site, each of the tubs 20, 22 might have a volume of ten barrels which individually provides adequate capacity and which in combination provides a twenty barrel capacity that is comparable to large capacity containers which have been used in prior systems used to produce cement slurries at well sites. As represented in FIG. 1, large agitators 24, 25, can be disposed in the tubs 20, 22 respectively for providing agitation to the bodies of mixture contained in the respective tubs. As best shown in FIG. 3, the tubs 20, 22 are disposed adjacent each other across the width of the vehicle 14 and also adjacent the centrally located tub 16.

The mixtures which are produced in the tubs 16, 20, 22 result from the initial mixtures which are produced and input by the inlet means 6. In the illustrated preferred embodiment, the inlet means 6 includes flow mixing means 26 for receiving and mixing a first substance and a second substance and for outputting a mixture which includes the first and second substances. In the preferred embodiment the flow mixing means 26 includes a cement inlet 28 for receiving dry cement, a water inlet 30 for receiving water, and a mixture output 32 for outputting a cement slurry of received cement and water into the primary mixing tub 16. This is particularly implemented in the preferred embodiment by an axial flow mixer connected to the tub 16. The axial flow mixer comprises the aforementioned inlets and outlet and further comprises one, and only

one, valve through which the water is admitted into the mixture and then into the tub 16. The axial flow mixer has dual recirculating inlets 34, 36 and constant velocity water jets (not shown). The cement inlet 28 of the flow mixer 26 is connected to means for selectably admitting the dry cement into the flow mixer 26. This includes a bulk cement metering device 38, such as a valve of a type known in the art (for example, a conventional bulk control cement head valve). The metering device 38 is shown connected to a bulk surge tank 40 into which dry cement is loaded in a conventional manner. A valve 39 can be included for a purpose described hereinbelow.

The water inlet 30 of the flow mixer 26 is connected to a source of water such as is provided through a conventional pump 42 and a conventional valve 44. As the flow mixer 26 receives cement and water and initially mixes it and provides it through its output 32 into the tub 16, the tub 16 fills to its capacity. Further input to the tub 16 from the flow mixer 26 causes an overflow from the tub 16. This overflow is communicated over one or more weirs into either or both of the tubs 20, 22. Weirs 46, 48 are illustrated in FIG. 3 and produce the flows 50, 52, respectively, schematically illustrated in FIG. 1. These weirs 46, 48 define in the preferred embodiment the means 8 for selectably directing a portion of the mixture from the tub 16 into the tubs 20, 22. These direct the overflowed averaged mixture from the tub 16 into either or both of the tubs 20, 22 for final mixing, averaging of the mixture density and improving of the distribution of any additives within the final mixture. The means 8 can be constructed so that the overflow from the tub 16 is provided in series first to one of the tubs 20, 22 and then to the other. In this way, one of the tubs 20, 22 can be used to produce a lead cement slurry, and the

other of the tubs 20, 22 can be used at a later time to produce a tail cement slurry. Alternatively, the tubs 20, 22 can be used in parallel by overflowing from the tub 16 simultaneously into both of the tubs 20, 22. The means 8 could include something other than weirs, such as a pump for pumping contents of the tub 16 to the tubs 20,22. When the tubs 20, 22 are displacements tanks, it is apparent that use of them in the foregoing manner gives them a dual function in that they are used not only as displacement tanks, but also as averaging tubs in which final cement slurries are produced from the mixture passed into them from the primary mixing tub 16.

To produce the desired densities in the mixtures of the tubs 20, 22 in the manner of the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the recirculation means 10 is used. The recirculation means 10 includes a recirculation subsystem 54 for recirculating at least a portion of the first averaged mixture from the tub 16 to the recirculation inlets 34, 36 of the flow mixer 26 of the inlet means 6. The recirculation means 10 also includes a recirculation subsystem 56 for recirculating at least a portion of the second averaged mixture from the selected one or both of the tubs 20, 22 to the recirculation inlets 34, 36 of the flow mixer 26 of the inlet means 6.

The subsystem 54 includes a pump 58 (for example, a 6.times.5 centrifugal pump) having an inlet connected to the mixing tub 16 and having an outlet connected to the flow mixer 26. These connections are made through suitable conduit means 60. The subsystem 54 of the preferred embodiment has a recirculation rate two to three times that of a previously conventional system (for example, 25 barrels per minute versus 8-

10 barrels per minute). This improves mixing and energy, and it improves control measurement.

The recirculation subsystem 56 includes a pump 62 (for example, a 6.times.5) centrifugal pump). The pump 62 has an inlet connected to at least the two secondary mixing tubs 20, 22. As illustrated in FIG. 1, the inlet is also manifolded to the mixing tub 16 so that the slurry within the first averaged mixture can go directly from the tub 16 to high pressure pumps (not shown) supplied or boosted by the pump 62, to whose outlet the downstream pumps are connected as indicated in FIG. 1. The outlet of the pump 62 is also connected to the flow mixer 26. The connections of the pump 62 to the respective tubs and the flow mixer are made through suitable conduit means 64. Shown disposed in the conduit means 64 are conventional valves 66, 68, 70, 72, 74 and a conventional control orifice 76 (for example, a Red Valve pinch valve). As is apparent from FIG. 1, the flow from the pump 62 is split between the downhole, or out-of-theapparatus, stream and the recirculation stream when the valves 72, 74 are both open. Thus, the recirculation flow rate equals the difference between the pump rate of the pump 62 and the flow rate downhole through the valve 72. The recirculation provided by the subsystem 56 increases the mixing energy available within the flow mixer 26 above that which would be provided by the subsystem 54 alone.

Reference will now be made to the control means 12. In the preferred embodiment, the control means 12 responds to a desired density for the second averaged mixture to be obtained from one or both of the tubs 20, 22 and to measured densities of both the portion of the first averaged mixture recirculated through the

subsystem 54 and the portion of the second averaged mixture recirculated through the subsystem 56. In response, the control means 12 controls the first and second substances received and mixed by the flow mixer 26 so that the second averaged mixture has the desired density.

Referring to FIG. 1, the control means 12 includes density measuring means 78, connected to the pump 58, for measuring density of the mixture pumped by the pump 58 during recirculation. The means 78 produces a signal in response to the density of the first averaged mixture recirculated through the pump 58. In the preferred embodiment the means 78 is implemented by a six-inch densimeter of a type as known in the art (for example, a Halliburton Services radioactive densometer). The densimeter is disposed in the conduit 60 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 1.

The control means 12 also includes density measuring means 80, connected to the pump 62, for measuring density of the cement slurry pumped by the pump 62. The means 80 produces a signal in response to density of the second averaged mixture recirculated through the pump 62. The means 80 in the preferred embodiment includes a conventional densimeter (for example, a Halliburton Services radioactive densometer) disposed in the conduit 64 between the outlet of the pump 62 and a junction 82 where the downhole and recirculation flows split.

The control means 12 further comprises means for entering system design parameters, control tuning factors and job input parameters, including the desired density for the second averaged mixture. Another one of the entered parameters is a desired rate at which the second averaged mixture is to be pumped into the well. The

other system parameters and factors are shown in FIG. 4A, which will be further discussed hereinbelow. In the preferred embodiment, the parameter entering means is implemented by a conventional data entry terminal 84 (for example, the keypad of a Halliburton Services UNIPRO II), which interfaces in a known manner to a suitable programmed computer 86 forming another part of the control means 12.

The computer 86 of the preferred embodiment is a digital computer (for example, as is in the Halliburton Services UNIPRO II) which is connected to the densimeters 78, 80 by electrical conductors 88, 90, respectively. The computer 86 is also connected to the data entry terminal 84 by electrical conductor(s) 92. The computer 86 is responsive to electrical signals received over these conductors so that, as programmed, the computer 86 includes means for providing respective control signals over electrical conductors 94, 96 to the valve 38 of the dry cement inlet path and to the water inlet valve of the flow mixer 26. As illustrated in FIG. 1, the computer 86 is also responsive to pressure measured in the dry cement inlet flow by a conventional pressure sensor 98 (for example, a Datamate 0-50 psig pressure transducer). The signal generated by the sensor 98 as a measure of the pressure of the inlet substance is communicated to the computer 86 over one or more electrical conductors 100. In an alternative preferred embodiment, the inlet pressure can be maintained constant, such as by means of the control valve 39 (FIG. 1), so that varying pressure is not a factor in such an embodiment thereby obviating the need for the sensor 98. The valve 39 could typically be a conventional pressure reducing valve for maintaining downstream pressure constant while upstream pressure varies.

Art Unit: 1723

The means provided by the programmed computer 86 more particularly comprises means for performing initial calculations in response to system design parameters, control tuning factors and job design parameters entered through the data entry terminal 84. The means provided by the programmed computer 86 further comprises means for generating, in response to entered system design parameters. control tuning factors and job design parameters and in response to initial calculations and measured densities, a control signal for a first one of the substances passed through the inlet means 6 and a control signal for a second one of the substances passed through the inlet means 6. In the illustrated preferred embodiment, this includes means for computing a calculated density error and for generating the control signals in response to the calculated density error. More particularly, there is a means for generating on signal to control the valve 38 by which the dry cement is selectably admitted to the flow mixer 26, and a means for generating one signal to control the valve of the flow mixer 26 through a conventional valve plate position control device 102 (for example, a proportional positioner, such as the Vickers XPERT DCL, a compact electrohydraulic package for digital control of linear drives).

The foregoing means of the programmed computer 86 are implemented by the programming and operation indicated in the flow charts of FIGS. 4 and 5. The first two boxes of the flow chart in FIG. 4A identify and describe the self-explanatory system design parameters, control tuning factors and job input parameters which are entered through the data entry terminal 84. The values for CTDNMX and CTDNMN are selected based on operator knowledge. The next box of FIG. 4A and the first box in FIG. 4B

contain the equations for the initial calculations performed within the programmed computer 86. The first six listed equations are specific to each slurry design. The first three equations shown in FIG. 4B are proportional, integral and differential factors, respectively. In the illustrated preferred embodiment, the proportional factor PARP12 decreases in response to increasing the entered rate SLR; the integral factor PARI13 increases in response to increasing SLR; and the differential factor PARD14 decreases in response to increasing SLR. These relationships and the specific values shown in FIG. 4B were empirically derived from computer simulations and are not limiting of the present invention. That is, the present invention in its broader aspects is not limited to particular computational factors or processes.

From the initial calculations and entered factors and parameters, along with the measured parameters sampled at an interval defined as TSAMP indicated in the fourth box of FIG. 4 (i.e., DENRS, DENRSF, and PTNK listed in FIG. 4B; the WTRATE signal is not implemented or used in the subsequent calculations, but it can be provided as a verification feedback signal), the production of the cement slurry is controlled using the formulas identified in the second box of FIG. 4B. Of particular importance is the base equation defining the calculated density error DELDN. This is listed as equation (3) in FIG. 4B. This is the initial equation shown in the flow chart of FIG. 5 which shows the methodology by which the equations listed in FIG. 4B are implemented. The parenthetical numbers shown within the boxes of FIG. 5 correspond to the numbered equations in FIG. 4B.

As shown in FIG. 5, the calculated density error, DELDN, uses the density measurements from both densimeters 78, 80 (DENRS, DENRSF, respectively). From equation (3) in FIG. 4B, DELDN also uses: the entered desired mix density, DENSN; the entered volumes, TUBV and TUBV2, of the primary and secondary mixing tubs; the entered total secondary mixing tub recirculating pump rate, RRP2, of the pump 62; and the entered slurry mix rate, or rate at which the slurry is to be pumped out of the apparatus, SLR (stated another way, RRP2-SLR is the net amount recirculated from the secondary tub and RRP2 is the net flow from the primary tub to the secondary averaging/mixing tub when there is continuous full circulation through the system). These are arithmetically combined to define DELDN as: DENSN-DENRS+(DENSN-DENRSF)*(TUBV2/TUBV)*(RRP2-SLR)/RRP2=[difference between the desired density and the measured density of recirculated flow through the subsystem 541+[difference] between the desired density and the measured density of recirculated flow through the subsystem 56, adjusted by the ratio of the secondary tub volume to the primary tub volume and by the proportion recirculated by the pump 62].

The cement error, CMTER, is calculated from the calculated density error. The cement error is then processed through proportional, integral, differential (PID) error computations of known type but utilizing in the preferred embodiment the aforementioned proportional, integral and differential factors (PARP12, PARI13, PARD14). The differential error computation is also a function (specifically, a hyperbolic function in the preferred embodiment) of the absolute value of the calculated density error, DELDN, as shown in FIG. 4B by the two unnumbered equations between

equations (10) and (11). This is implemented by the portion 104 of the flow chart shown in FIG. 5. The cement correction factor, CNCMRA, produced from the PID function 104 is added to the desired cement rate, CMDN, from the "initial calculations" to produce the corrected desired cement rate, CMTDT. This value is processed through the remainder of the functions illustrated in FIG. 5 to produce the cement valve position control signal, CMVLPO, and the water valve position control signal, WTRAT. These two signals produce an overdriving or underdriving of the initial mixtures through the flow mixer 26 to obtain more rapidly the desired density in the second averaged mixture of the secondary tubs 20, 22. To prevent such overdriving or underdriving from being too severe, whereby inadequate mixing of the cement and water might result, limits are placed through the bounding function of equation (16) (FIG. 4B). The bounding is set with the entry of CTDNMX and CTDNMN, the valves of which are selected by the operator from his or her experience.

Although the CMVLPO and WTRAT signals are the control signals by which the computer 86 controls the inlet means 6, the computer 86 also is programmed in the preferred embodiment to compute the value NDENS identified as equation (21) in FIG. 4B. This value is the calculated theoretical density of the initial mixture provided by the flow mixer 26. That is, it is the calculated result which should be obtained from the application of the CMVLPO and WTRAT control signals to the valve 38 and the valve of the flow mixer 26, respectively.

The various parameters and factors can be changed according to particular usages. For example, control gain factors would need to be changed between using the

Art Unit: 1723

secondary tubs alternately and in parallel. The system could be designed to provide a signal indicating the type of operation, from which signal the computer could implement the needed parameter/factor change. As another example, the PID values of PAR12, PAR13 and PAR14 could be made variable rather than fixed. The variation could be a function of DELDN, SLR or other value. Such a change would preferably be implemented to obtain the best system performance.

From the graphs of FIGS. 6 and 7 it can be seen that the system of the present invention, utilizing both recirculation lines in combination with respective densimeters (curves 110, 116) drives the contents of the primary tub to a much higher density to average out with the contents of the secondary tub, thereby providing means for achieving faster secondary tub response. Significant features of the present invention include the use of a second recirculation line and a second densimeter, particularly when applied in the calculated density error, DELDN. Maximum and minimum mix density values which are inputted to bound the overdriving or underdriving allows the system to make faster corrections without exceeding the ability of the system to mix at the correction density values. The present invention also operates in accordance with the foregoing to maintain a constant mix rate even though corrections are being made. This is achieved by controlling both, rather than only one of, the dry cement and water inlet flows For the embodiment shown in FIG. 1, the system also controls in response to the bulk cement delivery pressure to allow corrections of the cement valve delivery factor to be made on the fly. Over a given tank delivery, the bulk delivery pressure typically declines significantly and actual delivery of the bulk substance declines

commensurately. Thus, the calibration factor of the cement valve needs to be continually corrected. As previously mentioned, this can be obviated if constant pressure is maintained in the delivery system.

From the foregoing, it is apparent that the present invention includes means for controlling the inlet means 6 in response to the calculated density error, DELDN. The control means also includes means for overdriving or underdriving the flow mixing means 26 to produce in the first averaged mixture within the tub 16 excess or deficient density which is within a range between a predetermined maximum density, CTDNMX, and a predetermined minimum density, CTDNMN. The control means also controls the first substance and the second substance so that the flow mixing means 26 outputs the mixture at a constant rate.

The foregoing preferred embodiment of the apparatus of the present invention can be used to implement the method of the present invention by which the production of the mixture is controlled so that the mixture has a desired density. The mixture includes at least two substances passed through a flow mixer into a first tub and from the first tub into a second tub where the mixture is defined. Correlating this to the illustrated embodiment, the method comprises the steps of recirculating contents of the tub 16 to the flow mixer 26; recirculating contents of one or both of the tubs 20, 22 to the flow mixer 26; measuring with the densimeter 78 the density of the recirculated contents of the tub 16; measuring with the densimeter 80 the density of recirculated contents of the tub(s) 20, 22; controlling the introduction of water into the flow mixer 26 in response to the desired density and both of the measured densities; and controlling the

Art Unit: 1723

introduction of dry cement into the flow mixer 26 in response to the desired density and both of the measured densities. For the illustrated embodiment shown in FIG. 1, which incorporates the pressure sensor 98 for measuring pressure of the dry cement prior to it passing into the flow mixer 26, the step of controlling the introduction of the dry cement into the flow mixer 26 is also responsive to the measured pressure.

Preferably, the steps of controlling the introduction of the two substances are performed to control them relative to each other so that a constant mix rate is maintained. It is also preferred that these two steps be performed to control the introduction of the substances relative to each other so that the density of a mixture from the flow mixer is within a range between a predetermined maximum density value and a predetermined minimum density value.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment apparatus, the corresponding preferred method includes, within the step of recirculating contents of the tub(s) 20, 22, pumping contents of the tub(s) 20, 22 with a pump at a known pump rate, RRP2. The steps of measuring density respectively include: producing a signal, DENRS, in response to density of recirculated contents of the tub 16; and producing a signal, DENRSF, in response to density of recirculated contents of the tub(s) 20, 22. The preferred method further comprises performing the two controlling steps concurrently, including: entering the desired density, DENSN, into the digital computer 86; entering into the digital computer 86 a desired rate, SLR, at which the mixture is to be pumped from the tub(s) 20, 22 for use other than being recirculated; computing in the digital computer 86 a calculated density error, DELDN, wherein: DELDN=DENSN-

DENRS+(DENSN-DENRSF)* (TUBV2/TUBV)*(RRP2-SLR)/RRP2, where TUBV is the volume of the tub 16 and TUBV2 is the volume of the tub(s) 20, 22; and generating with the digital computer 86, in response to the calculated density error, control signals for controlling the introduction of the water and dry cement into the flow mixer 26.

A more particular embodiment of the method of the present invention is one for performing a cement job on a well so that a cement slurry is made and placed in the well using conventional displacement tanks for the dual purposes of being secondary mixing containers and subsequently conventional displacement tanks. This method includes flowing cement and water through a mixer into a tub to provide a mixture constituting a first body of cement slurry. As previously described, this is implemented in the illustrated apparatus by controlling both the valve 38 through which the cement flows and the valve of the flow mixer 26 through which the water flows into the mixer. This occurs in response to measured densities of the recirculated portions of the first body of cement slurry and a second body of cement slurry created by flowing a portion of the first body of cement slurry into a displacement tank.

As illustrated in FIGS. 1-3, for the preferred embodiment apparatus, the creation of the first body of mixture occurs by flowing dry cement through the valve 38 into the flow mixer 26 which is connected to the tub 16 mounted on the vehicle 14 located at a well (not shown). Water is flowed through the valve in the flow mixer 26. These flows are controlled by controlling the respective valves in response to measured densities of the recirculated mixtures.

To form the cement slurry in the displacement tank(s) 20, 22, at least part of the collected mixture from the tub 26 is flowed into at least one of two displacement tanks 20, 22 mounted on the vehicle 14 so that cement slurry is in at least one of the displacement tanks. Cement slurry from the displacement tank or tanks is flowed into the well. This is done by pumping initially with the pump 62 for the embodiment of the apparatus shown in FIG. 1 and subsequently by pumping with downstream high pressure pumps of types known in the art (not shown).

Once slurry has been removed from a displacement tank, displacement fluid is flowed into the displacement tank and the displacement fluid is thereafter flowed, using the pump 62 and the high pressure pumps, from the displacement tank into the well behind the cement slurry to place the cement slurry at a desired location in the well. If the displacement fluid is chemically reactive with the cement slurry, the displacement tank is first washed before it is filled with the displacement fluid. An example of how the displacement tank can be washed includes using a rotating nozzle of an automatic wash system which jets water along the inner surface of the displacement tank. The dirty wash water can be pumped by the pump 62 through the recirculation circuit 56 back into the flow mixer 26 and the tub 16 as part of the water added to the mixture which is continuing to be made.

When at least two displacement tanks are used, as illustrated in FIGS. 1-3, one displacement tank can be washed and used in its conventional manner while the other displacement tank is being used as the secondary averaging tub. If washing is needed, the method includes washing the displacement tank with washing water; flowing the

Art Unit: 1723

washing water from the displacement tank for combining the washing water with cement and water flowing through the mixer 26 into the tub 16 to add to the first body of cement slurry or mixture within the tub 16; flowing a portion of the added-to first body of cement into the other displacement tank to provide another body of cement slurry; flowing this other body of cement slurry from the other displacement tank into the well; washing with more washing water the other displacement tank from which the other body of cement slurry was flowed and flowing such more washing water into the tub 16; and flowing displacement fluid into this washed displacement tank. Both tanks can then be used in their conventional manners for flowing displacement fluid into the well. The wash water returned from the other, second displacement tank can be pumped into the tub 16 using the pump 62 and held in the tub 16 since no further mixing is likely to occur for that particular job. The displacement tanks are then both available for holding displacement fluid which is to be pumped behind the cement slurry which has been completely pumped from the apparatus of the present invention.

Accordingly, Allen (US 5,114,239) discloses all of the recited subject matter including a sensor 78 in the recirculation line 60 for measuring a parameter of the mixture and a sensor 80 in the discharge flow line 82 for measuring a parameter of the mixture but does not disclose the sensors being water concentration sensors for measuring a parameter of the mixture such as the water content thereof.

(B) DE 1921681 discloses a system for preparing a mixture of water and at least one non-aqueous material, comprising: a mixing zone 6; means 4, 8 for injecting water into the mixing zone; means for injecting the at least one non-aqueous material into the

Art Unit: 1723

mixing zone (the inclined feed conveyor means seen on the right side of the Figure); and a sensor 1, 2 disposed proximate the mixing zone that measures the concentration of water in the mixture; wherein the mixing zone comprises a mixing tub 6. It would have been obvious and mere common sense to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have substituted the sensor in the recirculation line and/or the sensor in the discharge flow line of Allen '239 with water concentration sensors as taught by DE 1921681 for the purposes of measuring and controlling the water content of the mixture during production without interrupting the mixing process (see the translation, page 1, lines 2-4); for measuring, controlling and recording the actual water content of the mixture with great accuracy and in an economic manner (page 3, lines 19-22); for using the measured values of water content to trigger processes for controlling water dosing to the mixture (page 4, lines 8-22); and for ensuring quality of the mixture and providing a record of the quality of the mixture (page 5, lines 17 through page 6, line 3).

(C) The patent to Wang et al. (US 6,169,407) discloses a processing system for blending multiple materials used in many different applications (col. 3, lines 11-25) having a mixing zone 10, 156 (see the mixer in Figs. 7-10 that feeds a mixture to the inlet of system 10 labeled "FROM MIXER" in Figure 1 and wherein the mixer is fed a mixture from the system 10 labeled "TO MIXER in Figure 1); means for injecting a material such as water into the mixing zone (col. 4, lines 32-41); means for injecting the other material into the mixing zone (col. 4, lines 32-41); and a water sensor 20 disposed within the mixing zone that measures the concentration of water in the mixture (col. 3,

Art Unit: 1723

lines 36-47). Alternately, it would have been obvious and mere common sense to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have substituted the sensor in the recirculation line and/or the sensor in the discharge flow line of Allen '239 with water concentration sensors as taught by Wang et al. (US 6,169,407) for the purposes of providing a water metering apparatus comprising an improved sensor which produces a substantially linear output for a wide range of water concentrations in a mixture (col. 2, lines 23-28); for adjusting the water level in the mixture (col. 4, lines 33-41); and to provide a consistently blended mixture (col. 7, lines 38-42). Wang et al. also teaches that the water concentration sensor can be located at various locations in the processing system, including a recirculation circuit (col. 3, lines 40-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1 and 6-15 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 11. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest the mixing system of claim 1 wherein the water concentration sensor is disposed in the mixing tub.

Response to Amendment

12. Applicant's arguments filed 24 APR 2007 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant primarily argues that the combination of references in the 103 rejection is improper and not within the guidelines of the MPEP. With regard to Allen and Wang, Applicant points out the different materials being processed in the references (an emulsion vs. cement slurry). However, a recitation with respect to the material intended to be worked upon by a claimed apparatus does not impose any structural limitations upon the claimed apparatus, which differentiates it from a prior art apparatus satisfying the structural limitations of that claimed. See Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647, 1648 (Bd. App. 1987). Also see In re Rishoi, 197 F.2d 342, 344, 94 USPQ 71, 72 (CCPA 1952); and *In re Young*, 75 F.2d 996, 997, 25 USPQ 69, 70 (CCPA 1935). Accordingly, the recitation of what particular substances are being processed in the pending claims and in the applied prior art references is not germane to the patentability of the apparatus itself. Since all the claimed elements of the rejected apparatus claims are rendered obvious by the combination of Allen and Wang, irrespective of the types of materials being processed in the respective references, the rejection is considered proper.

With regard to Allen and DE '681, Applicant explains that the manner in which the concrete or cement slurry is produced in each of the references negates the combination of references. However, the claims treated on the merits are exclusively apparatus claims hence, it is not seen how the method of production of the mixtures in Allen or DE '681 render the combination improper. Since all the claimed elements of the rejected apparatus claims are rendered obvious by the combination of Allen and DE '681, the rejection is considered proper.

Art Unit: 1723

Both Wang and DE '681 teach the use of sensors to determine the quantity of water in a substance or mixture and to enable control of the quantity of water therein, irrespective of the particular components of the substance or mixture. Accordingly, in response to applicant's arguments against these references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant then asserts that the examiner has failed to point out any suggestion or motivation to combine the teachings of Wang or DE '681 with Allen, however, said suggestion or motivation is elucidated in the latter sections of sections (B) and (C) above, highlighted in bold font for Applicant's reference.

As recently explained in KSR Int'l. Co, v. Teleflex, Inc., 127 S. Ct. 1727, 82

USPQ2d 1385 (2007), "the problem motivating the patentee may be only one of many addressed by the patent's subject matter. The question is not whether the combination was obvious to the patentee but whether the combination was obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art. Under the correct analysis, any need or problem known in the field of endeavor at the time of invention and addressed by the patent can provide a reason for combining the elements in the manner claimed." The Supreme Court further elaborates that "[c]ommon sense teaches, however, that familiar items may have obvious uses beyond their primary purposes, and in many cases a person of ordinary skill will be able to fit the teachings of multiple patents together like pieces of a puzzle."

See also, In re Beattie, 974 F.2d 1309, 1312, 24 USPQ2d 1040, 1042 (Fed. Cir. 1992)

("As long as some motivation or suggestion to combine the references is provided by the prior art taken as a whole, the law does not require that the references be combined for the reasons contemplated by the inventor.").

Accordingly, in light of the Court's guidance, the common sense reason for combining DE '681 or Wang with Allen is to enable measuring and controlling a parameter of a mixture or substance such as the water content thereof which parameter is measured in the recirculation line or the discharge line of a mixing system. Thus, for one of ordinary skill in the art to fit the teachings of DE '681 or Wang with Allen is certainly sanctioned by the Court's interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 103.

With respect to Applicant's arguments that the secondary references to Wang and DE '681 cannot be bodily incorporated into the primary reference of Allen, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of the reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the references make obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Bozek*, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969); *In re Richman*, 165 USPQ 509 (CCPA 1970); *In re Beckum*, 169 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1971); *In re Sneed*, 218 USPQ 385. The suggestion to modify the art to produce the claimed invention need not be expressly stated in one or all of the references used to show obviousness and instead may be an implied suggestion.

Cable Electric Products. *Inc. v. Genmark, Inc.*, 770 F.2d 1015, 1025, 226 USPQ 881, 886 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Sernaker*, 217 USPQ 1 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Nilssen*, 7 USPQ2d 1500, 1502 (Fed. Cir. 1988). It is not necessary that the references actually suggest, expressly or in so many words, the changes or improvements that applicant

has made. Rather, the test for combining references is what the combined teachings of the references as a whole would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Sheckler*, 168 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1971); *In re McLaughlin*, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971); *In re Young*, 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1968); *Cable Elec.*, 226 USPQ at 886-87. The motivation to combine can arise from the knowledge that the prior art elements will perform their expected functions to achieve their expected results when combined for their common known purpose. *Miles Lab., Inc. v. Shandon Inc.*, 27 USPQ2d 1123, 1128 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In the instant application, the secondary references to Wang and DE '681 make obvious or suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art the provision of measuring a parameter of a substance or mixture such as the water content thereof with a water concentration sensor.

While there must be some suggestion or motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of references, it is not necessary that such be found within the four corners of the references themselves; a conclusion of obviousness may be made from common knowledge and common sense of the person of ordinary skill in the art without any hint or suggestion in a particular reference. *In re Bosek*, 416 F.2d 1385, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). Further, in an obviousness assessment, skill is presumed on the part of the artisan, rather than the lack thereof. *In re Sovish*, 769 F.2d 738, 226 USPQ 771 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

With respect to the applied references, the examiner has considered all of the disclosure of each reference for what it would have fairly taught one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Boe*, 355 F.2d 961, 148 USPQ 507 (CCPA 1966). Additionally, the

specific teachings of each reference and the inferences which one skilled in the art would have reasonably been expected to draw from the disclosure has been taken into account. *In re Preda*, 401 F.2d 825, 159 USPQ (CCPA 1968). On the basis of the knowledge and level of skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention, as reflected by the applied references, the examiner concludes that the rejection under 35 USC 103 is well founded and not flawed.

Applying the test for obviousness set forth in *In re Keller*, supra, which is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art, the examiner concludes that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it prima facie obvious to have combined the references in light of the motivations set forth in the rejections.

With respect to the argument that the prior art must contain something to suggest the desirability of the combination, it is noted that to justify combining reference teachings in support of a rejection under 35 USC 103, it is not necessary that a device shown in one reference be capable of being physically inserted into the device shown in the other or that the prior art suggest expressly the changes or possible improvements the applicant has made. It is only necessary that knowledge clearly present in the prior art was applied. *In re Keller*, supra; *In re Sernaker*, 702 F.2d 989, 217 USPQ 1 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The examiner has applied only knowledge clearly present in the prior art as evidenced by Wang and DE '681 in the rejections of the pending claims and the rejections are thus proper.

Art Unit: 1723

Since the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art, from the combined teachings of the references, the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is considered proper.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1 and 6-15 are allowed since the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the mixing system of claim 1 with a water concentration sensor within the mixing tub.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY

Art Unit: 1723

PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE
OF THIS FINAL ACTION. ANY RESPONSE FILED AFTER THE MAILING DATE OF
THIS FINAL REJECTION WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF MPEP 714.12
AND 714.13.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Cooley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles E. Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

harles C